

Quantum information entropies for the ℓ -state Pöschl–Teller-type potential


W. A. Yahya, K. J. Oyewumi & K. D. Sen

Journal of Mathematical Chemistry

ISSN 0259-9791

J Math Chem

DOI 10.1007/s10910-016-0650-7



Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer International Publishing Switzerland. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".

Quantum information entropies for the ℓ -state Pöschl–Teller-type potential

W. A. Yahya^{1,2} · K. J. Oyewumi¹ · K. D. Sen³

Received: 17 February 2016 / Accepted: 25 May 2016
© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

Abstract In this study, we obtained the position–momentum uncertainties and some uncertainty relations for the Pöschl–Teller-type potential for any ℓ . The radial expectation values of r^{-2} , r^2 and p^2 are obtained from which the Heisenberg Uncertainty principle holds for the potential model under consideration. The Fisher information is then obtained and it is observed that the Fisher-information-based uncertainty relation and the Cramer–Rao inequality hold for this even power potential. Some numerical and graphical results are displayed.

Keywords Pöschl–Teller-type potential · Schrödinger equation · Uncertainty relations · Fisher information · Cramer–Rao inequality

1 Introduction

The quantum mechanical uncertainty principle, first formulated in terms of the standard deviations of the position and momentum probability densities which characterize the quantum-mechanical states of one-dimensional single particle systems, is fundamental

✉ K. J. Oyewumi
kjoyewumi66@unilorin.edu.ng

W. A. Yahya
wazzy4real@yahoo.com

K. D. Sen
kalidas.sen@gmail.com

¹ Theoretical Physics Section, Department of Physics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria

² Department of Physics and Material Science, Kwara State University, Malete, Nigeria

³ School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500046, India

to understanding the electronic structure and properties of atoms and molecules [1]. In particular, we have the Heisenberg uncertainty principle [2] for the product of the uncertainties in position and momentum spaces, expressed in terms of Planck's constant [3,4]. For a one-dimensional system defined over $-\infty \leq x \leq \infty$, it is given by the product of the corresponding uncertainties

$$(\Delta x) = \sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Delta p) = \sqrt{\langle p^2 \rangle - \langle p \rangle^2}, \quad (1)$$

according to

$$(\Delta x)(\Delta p) \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}. \quad (2)$$

The Fisher information measure is defined in position space as [5–7]

$$I[\rho] = \int \frac{[\nabla \rho(\mathbf{r})]^2}{\rho(\mathbf{r})} d\mathbf{r}, \quad (3)$$

and the corresponding momentum space measure is given by [7]

$$I[\gamma] = \int \frac{[\nabla \gamma(\mathbf{p})]^2}{\gamma(\mathbf{p})} d\mathbf{p}, \quad (4)$$

where ρ and γ are the probability densities in the position and momentum spaces, respectively. The importance of Fisher information as a measure of the information in a distribution is well known. It has many implications in estimation theory, as exemplified by the Cramer–Rao bound which is a fundamental limit on the variance of an estimator [8]. It is a useful tool for characterizing complex signals or systems with applications in geophysics, biology and so on, see Refs. [5,8] for details.

A sharp (smooth) and strongly localized (well spread out) probability density gives rise to a larger(smaller) value of the Fisher information in the position space [7]. The Fisher information in the position space measures the narrowness and the oscillation nature of the probability distribution [9]. The individual Fisher measures are bounded through the Cramer–Rao inequality [10] according to

$$I[\rho] \geq \frac{1}{\sigma^2[\rho]}, \quad I[\gamma] \geq \frac{1}{\sigma^2[\gamma]}, \quad (5)$$

where $\sigma^2[\rho]$ and $\sigma^2[\gamma]$ are, respectively, the standard deviation in the position and momentum spaces [3,11].

Dehesa et al. [12] studied the information-theoretic measures for Morse and Pöschl–Teller potentials. In 2014, Yahya et al. [13] studied the information and complexity measures for the ring-shaped modified Kratzer potential. The trends in the variation of the information and complexity measures for this quantum system are discussed also. Eigensolution techniques, their applications and Fisher's information entropy for the Tietz–Wei diatomic molecular model have been obtained [14].

Analysis of quantum-mechanical states of the ring-shaped Mie-type diatomic molecular model via the Fisher's information has been reported [15]. Recently, Yahya et al. [16] studied the information-theoretic measures in both the position and momentum spaces for the pseudoharmonic potential. In the study, the following information-theoretic measures have been obtained: Fisher information, Shannon entropy, Renyi entropy, Tsallis entropy, Onicescu information energy. Also, the ratio of information-theoretic impetuses to lengths for Fisher, Shannon and Renyi were obtained.

Different properties of some quantum mechanical potentials have been studied using the Heisenberg uncertainty principle [17]. It was observed that the ground state of these potentials saturates all the uncertainty relations in an appropriate limit of the parameter [12].

In this study, we shall study the Heisenberg uncertainty principle and the quantum information entropies for the Pöschl–Teller-type potential for any ℓ . As earlier stated, for a particle moving non-relativistically in a central potential $V(r)$, the following uncertainty relation holds ($\langle x \rangle = \langle p \rangle = 0$) [18–25]:

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_{n\ell} \langle p^2 \rangle_{n\ell} \geq \frac{9}{4} \hbar^2. \quad (6)$$

The inequality above becomes equality for the ground state of the harmonic oscillator (HO). The extent to which the above inequality is saturated depends on the potential shape and the state (n, ℓ) of interest. For harmonic oscillator, the inequality in (6) attains its minimum value 2.25 for $n = \ell = 0$ and $\hbar = 1$. Only a few of these central potentials can be solved analytically for the above inequality for any bound state n, ℓ .

The Pöschl–Teller-type potential considered in this study is [26,27]:

$$V(r) = \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2 \lambda (\lambda + 1)}{2M} \tanh^2(\alpha r), \quad (-\infty < r < \infty), \quad (7)$$

where μ is the mass of the particle, and λ denotes the potential depth and α is related to the range of the potential. This potential is a non-homogeneous potential, as described by Sen and Katriel [28]. It belongs to a class of even-power series potentials, this class of potentials behave like a harmonic oscillator potential (near the origin), often termed ‘oscillator-like’ potentials [24,25,29].

The study is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we obtain the expectation values of r^{-2} , r^2 and p^2 from which the Heisenberg uncertainty product is obtained for the Pöschl–Teller-type potential for any ℓ . Section 3 contains the Fisher information measure and the Cramer–Rao product of the Pöschl–Teller-type potential for any ℓ . The conclusion is given in Sect. 4.

2 Position–momentum uncertainty relations

The energy eigenvalues for the ℓ -state Pöschl–Teller-type potential is obtained as [26,27]

$$E_{n\ell} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left[4d_0\Lambda - 4n^2 - 4n + 8n\gamma + 4\gamma - 8n\zeta - 4\zeta - \frac{3}{2} - \Lambda + 8\gamma\zeta \right], \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \alpha^2 \ell(\ell + 1), \quad \beta = \alpha^2 \lambda(\lambda + 1), \quad s = \sinh^2(\alpha r), \\ \gamma &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} + \frac{\beta}{4}}, \quad \zeta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} + \frac{\Lambda}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Also, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, [\lambda]$, where $[\lambda]$ denotes the largest integer inferior to λ . The wave function is obtained as [27]

$$R_{n\ell} = s^{\frac{1}{4}+\zeta} (1+s)^{\frac{1}{4}-\gamma} P_n^{(2\zeta, -2\gamma)}(1+2s). \quad (10)$$

The expectation value $\langle r^{-2} \rangle$ of the Pöschl–Teller-type potential is calculated explicitly, by using the Hellmann–Feynman theorem (HFT) [24, 25, 30–38]. The HFT states that a non-degenerate eigenvalue $E(q)$ of a parameter-dependent Hermitian operator $H(q)$, the associated eigenvector $\Psi(q)$, changes with respect to the parameter q according to the formula [37, 38]:

$$\frac{\partial E_{n,\ell}(q)}{\partial q} = \left\langle \Psi_{n,\ell}(q) \left| \frac{\partial H(q)}{\partial q} \right| \Psi_{n,\ell}(q) \right\rangle. \quad (11)$$

The Hamiltonian of the system is

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2 \ell(\ell + 1)}{2\mu r^2} + \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2 \lambda(\lambda + 1)}{2\mu r^2} \tanh^2 \alpha r \quad (12)$$

and by putting $q = \ell$, we obtain

$$\langle r^{-2} \rangle_{n\ell} = -\frac{2}{3} - \frac{n}{\sqrt{\zeta}} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\zeta}} + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\zeta}}. \quad (13)$$

The graph of $\langle r^{-2} \rangle_{n\ell}$ against λ for some values of n and ℓ is plotted in Fig. 1. We observe that $\langle r^{-2} \rangle_{n\ell}$ increases linearly with λ . The values are also shown in Table 1 for some n and ℓ . It is observed from Table 1 that $\langle r^{-2} \rangle_{n\ell}$ decreases with increasing n when ℓ is fixed.

In order to obtain the uncertainty product $(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2 (\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$, we need to calculate the following expectation values: $\langle r \rangle_{n\ell}$, $\langle p \rangle_{n\ell}$, $\langle r^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$ and $\langle p^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$. As expected for a particle moving in the symmetric potential well, both $\langle r \rangle_{n\ell}$ and $\langle p \rangle_{n\ell}$ are zero [26]. $\langle r^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r^2 \rangle_{n\ell} &= 4\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r^2 R_{n\ell}^*(r) r^2 R_{n\ell}(r) dr \\ &= 4\pi N^2 \int_0^1 \frac{(\sinh^{-1} \sqrt{s})^4}{2\alpha^5} s^{2\zeta} (1+s)^{-2\gamma} \left[P_n^{(2\zeta, -2\gamma)}(1+2s) \right]^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$




Fig. 1 Graph of $\langle r^{-2} \rangle_{n\ell}$ against λ for some n and ℓ

Table 1 Numerical results of $\langle r^{-2} \rangle_{n\ell}$ for the Pöschl–Teller-type potential with $\lambda = 100$, $\hbar = 2\mu = 1$, $\alpha = 0.1$ for some n and ℓ

n	ℓ	$\langle r^{-2} \rangle_{n\ell}$
0	0	8.39564
1	0	6.39564
2	0	4.39564
3	0	2.39564
1	2	6.02588
2	2	4.13059
3	2	2.23530
4	2	0.34002
1	5	5.13217
2	5	3.48998
3	5	1.84778
4	5	0.20559

From the Hamiltonian of the system, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle p^2 \rangle_{n\ell} &= 4\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r^2 R_{n\ell}^*(r) \left[2\mu H - \frac{\hbar^2 \Lambda}{r^2} - \hbar^2 \beta \tanh^2 \alpha r \right] R_{n\ell}(r) dr \\
 &= 4\pi \left[2\mu E_{n,\ell} - \hbar^2 \Lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{n\ell}^2(r) dr - \hbar^2 \beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r^2 \tanh^2 \alpha r R_{n\ell}^2(r) dr \right],
 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle p^2 \rangle_{n\ell} &= 4\pi \left\{ 2\mu E_{n,\ell} - \frac{\hbar^2 \Lambda N^2}{2\alpha} \int_0^1 s^{2\zeta} (1+s)^{-2\gamma} \left[P_n^{(2\zeta, -2\gamma)}(1+2s) \right]^2 ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\hbar^2 \beta N^2}{2\alpha^3} \int_0^1 s^{1+2\zeta} (1+s)^{-1-2\gamma} \left(\sinh^{-1} \sqrt{s} \right)^2 \left[P_n^{(2\zeta, -2\gamma)}(1+2s) \right]^2 ds \right\}. \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

Table 2 Numerical results for the uncertainty relation $(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$ with $\hbar = 2\mu = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $n = 0$ and $\ell = 0$

λ	$\langle r^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$	$\langle p^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$	$(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$	$\min \left((\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2 \right)$
0.5	0.551859	5.99104	3.306209	2.25
1.5	0.526554	42.5751	22.41728	2.25
2.5	0.498741	78.5373	39.16977	2.25
3.5	0.468876	113.996	53.44999	2.25
4.5	0.437563	149.079	65.23145	2.25
5.5	0.405598	183.915	74.59556	2.25
6.5	0.373856	218.619	81.73202	2.25
7.5	0.343174	253.286	86.92117	2.25
8.5	0.314248	287.981	90.49745	2.25
9.5	0.287563	322.742	92.80866	2.25

Table 3 Numerical results for the uncertainty relation $(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$ with $\hbar = 2\mu = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $n = 1$ and $\ell = 0$

λ	$\langle r^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$	$\langle p^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$	$(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$	$\min \left((\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2 \right)$
1.5	0.548912	17.3952	9.548434	2.25
2.5	0.461496	103.858	47.93005	2.25
3.5	0.302590	190.969	57.78531	2.25
4.5	0.334296	276.538	92.44555	2.25
5.5	0.516568	357.880	184.8694	2.25
6.5	0.569420	439.477	250.2470	2.25
7.5	0.572668	521.226	298.4895	2.25
8.5	0.560332	602.821	337.7799	2.25
9.5	0.541791	684.221	370.7048	2.25

A state is defined to be squeezed if $(\Delta r)^2 < 0.5$. Our results from Tables 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 show a squeezed phenomenon in the position r for the ground state when $\lambda \geq 2.5$. For the first excited state ($n = 1$), we have squeezed phenomena from $\lambda = 2.2$ to $\lambda = 5.3$, also at $\lambda \geq 11.4$. We also have squeezed phenomena at various points for the states ($n = 2, \ell = 0$), ($n = 2, \ell = 1$), ($n = 3, \ell = 2$). It is observed from Tables 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 that the lower bounds for the single particle in any central potential is obtained as [11]

$$(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2 = \langle r^2 \rangle_{n\ell} \langle p^2 \rangle_{n\ell} \geq \left(\ell + \frac{3}{2} \right)^2 \quad (17)$$

holds for this potential. The least value for $\ell = 0$ with various values of n obtained is 3.306209 which is greater than the expected minimum value (2.250) as shown in Tables 2, 3, and 4. For various values of n and ℓ , the Heisenberg uncertainty principle

Table 4 Numerical results for the uncertainty relation $(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$ with $\hbar = 2\mu = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $n = 2$ and $\ell = 0$

λ	$\langle r^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$	$\langle p^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$	$(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$	$\min \left((\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2 \right)$
2.5	0.550407	28.0161	15.4203	2.25
3.5	0.362748	165.251	59.9445	2.25
4.5	0.411899	300.560	123.800	2.25
5.5	0.561492	432.187	242.670	2.25
6.5	0.540564	565.700	305.797	2.25
7.5	0.476402	700.333	333.640	2.25
8.5	0.396338	836.261	331.442	2.25
9.5	0.369105	970.598	358.253	2.25

Table 5 Numerical results for the uncertainty relation $(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$ with $\hbar = 2\mu = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $n = 2$ and $\ell = 1$

λ	$\langle r^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$	$\langle p^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$	$(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$	$\min \left((\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2 \right)$
3.5	0.578148	117.507	67.93561	6.25
4.5	0.484400	277.361	134.3537	6.25
5.5	0.317118	437.433	138.7170	6.25
6.5	0.418443	595.168	249.0439	6.25
7.5	0.534586	751.435	401.7066	6.25
8.5	0.538793	908.354	489.4148	6.25
9.5	0.498933	1066.09	531.9075	6.25
10.5	0.437253	1225.02	535.6437	6.25

Table 6 Numerical results for the uncertainty relation $(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$ with $\hbar = 2\mu = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $n = 3$ and $\ell = 2$

λ	$\langle r^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$	$\langle p^2 \rangle_{n\ell}$	$(\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2$	$\min \left((\Delta r)_{n\ell}^2(\Delta p)_{n\ell}^2 \right)$
4.5	0.639235	61.0028	38.9951	12.25
5.5	0.596992	294.683	175.923	12.25
6.5	0.503234	527.632	265.522	12.25
7.5	0.340425	759.800	258.655	12.25
8.5	0.449519	990.761	445.366	12.25
9.5	0.528862	1221.84	646.185	12.25
10.5	0.503222	1453.55	731.458	12.25

holds for the various values of λ considered as it can be seen from Tables 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9, since the least value obtained is greater than the minimum value of equation (17).

Table 7 The values of $(\Delta r)_{n\ell}$ for some λ with $\hbar = 2\mu = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$

n	ℓ	$\lambda = 20$	$\lambda = 50$	$\lambda = 100$	$\lambda = 200$
3	0	0.750849	0.508588	0.347300	0.241542
4	0	0.663283	0.583597	0.394231	0.272851
5	0	0.706252	0.654627	0.437750	0.301451
6	1	0.678838	0.739476	0.497916	0.340207
7	1	0.707238	0.751129	0.536695	0.364714
8	1	0.716054	0.690046	0.574314	0.388097

Table 8 The values of $(\Delta p)_{n\ell}$ for some λ with $\hbar = 2\mu = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$

n	ℓ	$\lambda = 20$	$\lambda = 50$	$\lambda = 100$	$\lambda = 200$
3	0	54.6780	90.8740	130.833	186.646
4	0	60.1654	101.147	146.469	209.523
5	0	64.1146	110.033	160.284	229.923
6	1	68.4516	121.564	178.512	257.144
7	1	70.2057	128.531	189.370	273.577
8	1	71.2527	135.263	199.394	288.908

Table 9 The values of $(\Delta r \Delta p)_{n\ell}$ for some λ with $\hbar = 2\mu = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$

n	ℓ	$\lambda = 20$	$\lambda = 50$	$\lambda = 100$	$\lambda = 200$	$\min(\Delta r \Delta p)_{n\ell}$
3	0	41.0549	46.2170	45.4383	45.0828	1.50
4	0	39.9067	59.0290	57.7426	57.1686	1.50
5	0	45.2811	72.0306	70.1643	69.3105	1.50
6	1	46.4675	89.8937	88.8840	87.4822	2.50
7	1	49.6521	96.5434	101.634	99.7770	2.50
8	1	51.0208	93.3377	114.515	112.124	2.50

3 Fisher information

The Fisher information of the Pöschl–Teller-type potential for any ℓ is considered. Noting that the Fisher information for the expectation values of r and p can be obtained by using the following relations [3]:

$$I[\rho] = 4 \left\langle p^2 \right\rangle_{n\ell} - 2(2\ell + 1)|m| \left\langle r^{-2} \right\rangle_{n\ell} \quad (18)$$

and

$$I[\gamma] = 4 \left\langle r^2 \right\rangle_{n\ell} - 2(2\ell + 1)|m| \left\langle p^{-2} \right\rangle_{n\ell}. \quad (19)$$

The $\langle r^2 \rangle$ and $\langle p^2 \rangle$ have been obtained in Eqs. (14) and (16), respectively. On substituting Eqs. (14) and (16) into Eqs. (18) and (19), the Fisher information in the position

Table 10 Fisher information measure with $2\mu = \hbar = \alpha = 1$, $n = 0$ and $\ell = 0$

λ	$I[\rho]$	$I[\gamma]$	$I[\rho]I[\gamma]$	$\min(I[\rho]I[\gamma])$
0.5	23.96416	2.207436	52.89935	36.00
1.5	170.3004	2.106140	358.6765	36.00
2.5	314.1492	1.994964	626.7163	36.00
3.5	455.9840	1.875504	855.1998	36.00
4.5	596.3160	1.750252	1043.703	36.00
5.5	735.6600	1.622392	1193.529	36.00
6.5	874.4760	1.495424	1307.712	36.00
7.5	1013.144	1.372696	1390.739	36.00
8.5	1151.924	1.256992	1447.959	36.00
9.5	1290.968	1.150252	1484.939	36.00

Table 11 Fisher information measure with $2\mu = \hbar = \alpha = 1$, $n = 1$ and $\ell = 0$

λ	$I[\rho]$	$I[\gamma]$	$I[\rho]I[\gamma]$	$\min(I[\rho]I[\gamma])$
1.5	69.58080	2.195648	152.7749	36.00
2.5	415.4302	1.845984	766.8808	36.00
3.5	763.8760	1.210360	924.5650	36.00
4.5	1106.152	1.337184	1479.129	36.00
5.5	1431.520	2.066272	2957.910	36.00
6.5	1757.908	2.277680	4003.958	36.00
7.5	2084.904	2.290672	4775.831	36.00
8.5	2411.284	2.241328	5404.478	36.00
9.5	2736.884	2.167164	5931.276	36.00

Table 12 Fisher information measure with $2\mu = \hbar = \alpha = 1$, $n = 2$ and $\ell = 0$

λ	$I[\rho]$	$I[\gamma]$	$I[\rho]I[\gamma]$	$\min(I[\rho]I[\gamma])$
2.5	112.0644	2.201628	246.7241	36.00
3.5	661.0040	1.450992	959.1115	36.00
4.5	1202.240	1.647596	1980.806	36.00
5.5	1728.748	2.245968	3882.713	36.00
6.5	2262.800	2.162256	4892.753	36.00
7.5	2801.332	1.905608	5338.241	36.00
8.5	3345.044	1.585352	5303.072	36.00
9.5	3882.392	1.476420	5732.041	36.00

and momentum spaces are obtained. In this case, the magnetic quantum number (m) is zero. According to Dehesa et al. [39], the product of both equations above can not be less than 36.00:

$$I[\rho] \times I[\gamma] \geq 36.00. \quad (20)$$

Table 13 Fisher information measure with $2\mu = \hbar = \alpha = 1$, $n = 2$ and $\ell = 1$

λ	$I[\rho]$	$I[\gamma]$	$I[\rho]I[\gamma]$	$\min(I[\rho]I[\gamma])$
3.5	470.0280	2.312564	1086.970	36.00
4.5	1109.444	1.937600	2149.659	36.00
5.5	1749.732	1.268472	2219.486	36.00
6.5	2380.672	1.673772	3984.702	36.00
7.5	3005.740	2.138344	6427.306	36.00
8.5	3633.416	2.155172	7830.636	36.00
9.5	4264.360	1.995732	8510.520	36.00
10.5	4900.080	1.749012	8570.299	36.00

Table 14 Fisher information measure with $2\mu = \hbar = \alpha = 1$, $n = 3$ and $\ell = 2$

λ	$I[\rho]$	$I[\gamma]$	$I[\rho]I[\gamma]$	$\min(I[\rho]I[\gamma])$
4.5	244.0112	2.556940	623.9220	36.00
5.5	1178.732	2.387968	2814.774	36.00
6.5	2110.528	2.012936	4248.479	36.00
7.5	3039.200	1.361700	4138.479	36.00
8.5	3963.044	1.798076	7125.854	36.00
9.5	4887.360	2.115448	10338.96	36.00
10.5	5814.200	2.012888	11703.33	36.00

Table 15 The values of the Cramer–Rao product $I[\rho]V[\rho]$ with $2\mu = \hbar = \alpha = 1$, $n = 3$ and $\ell = 2$

λ	$n = 0, \ell = 0$	$n = 1, \ell = 0$	$n = 2, \ell = 1$	$n = 3, \ell = 2$	$\min(I[\rho]V[\rho])$
0.5	13.22484				9.00
1.5	89.66912	38.19374			9.00
2.5	156.6791	191.7202			9.00
3.5	213.8000	231.1412	271.7425		9.00
4.5	260.9258	369.7822	537.4147	155.9805	9.00
5.5	298.3822	739.4774	554.8715	703.6936	9.00
6.5	326.9281	1000.988	996.1755	1062.089	9.00
7.5	247.6847	1193.958	1606.827	1034.620	9.00
8.5	361.9898	1351.120	1957.659	781.4640	9.00
9.5	371.2346	1482.819	2127.630	2584.739	9.00

The values obtained are shown in Tables 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14. The Fisher-information-based uncertainty relation holds for this potential model, since the minimum value of $I[\rho] \times I[\gamma]$ from the Tables is 52.89935 which is greater than the expected minimum value of 36 (from Eq. 20). Similarly, the Cramer–Rao inequality given by Dehesa et al. [39]

$$I[\rho] \times V[\rho] \geq D^2 \quad (21)$$

is satisfied for this potential as shown in Table 15. In this case, D is 3, so that the minimum value is 9.00.

4 Conclusion

We have studied some entropic-uncertainty relations for the Pöschl–Teller-type potential for any ℓ . We obtained the expectation values of r^{-2} , r^2 and p^2 . The Heisenberg Uncertainty principle for any ℓ is satisfied for this potential model as seen in the numerical results. The Fisher information is then obtained by making use of the expectation values of r^2 and p^2 , coupled with the fact that the magnetic quantum number m is zero in the case considered. The validity of the Heisenberg, Fisher-information-based uncertainty relations and Cramer–Rao products for the Pöschl–Teller type has been investigated. We established that the condition of the Fisher-Information-based uncertainty product is satisfied for the Pöschl–Teller type potential model. Also, the Cramer–Rao inequality holds for this potential model.

Acknowledgments We thank the kind referees for their comments. YAW appreciates Kwara State University, Nigeria for granting permission to conduct the research at UNILORIN. KJO thanks University of Ilorin and University of Hyderabad, TWAS support is acknowledged. KDS thanks SERB, New Delhi for award of J.C. Bose Fellowship under which this work was carried out.

References

1. R. Gonzalez-Ferez, J.S. Dehesa, S.H. Patil, K.D. Sen, Phys. A **388**, 4919 (2009)
2. W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. **43**, 172 (1927)
3. S.H. Patil, K.D. Sen, Phys. Lett. A **370**, 354 (2007)
4. S.H. Patil, K.D. Sen, J. Chem. Sci. **124**, 241 (2012)
5. B.R. Frieden, *Science from Fisher information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004)
6. J.S. Dehesa, S. Lopez-Rosa, B. Olmos, R.J. Yanez, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **179**, 185 (2005)
7. J. Katriel, K.D. Sen, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **233**, 1399 (2010)
8. J.F. Bercher, C. Vignat, Inf. Sci. **179**, 3832–3842 (2009)
9. H.E. Montgomery Jr., K.D. Sen, Phys. Lett. A **372**, 2271 (2008)
10. C.R. Rao, *Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications* (Wiley, New York, 1965)
11. E. Romera, P. Sanchez-Moreno, J.S. Dehesa, Chem. Phys. Lett. **414**, 468 (2005)
12. J.S. Dehesa, A. Martinez-Finkelshtein, V.N. Sorokin, Mol. Phys. **104**(4), 613 (2006)
13. W.A. Yahya, K.J. Oyewumi, K.D. Sen, Ind. J. Chem. **53A**, 1307 (2014)
14. B.J. Falaye, K.J. Oyewumi, S.M. Ikhdair, M. Hamzavi, Phys. Scr. **89**, 115204–1 (2014)
15. B.J. Falaye, K.J. Oyewumi, F. Sadikoglu, M. Hamzavi, S.M. Ikhdair, J. Theor. Comput. Chem. **14**(5), 1550036 (2014)
16. W.A. Yahya, K.J. Oyewumi, K.D. Sen, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **115**, 1543 (2015)
17. S.H. Patil, K.D. Sen, Phys. Lett. A **362**, 109 (2007)
18. W.C. Qiang, S.H. Dong, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **39**, 8663 (2006)
19. V. V. Dodonov, V. I. Mank'ko, Generalization of the uncertainty relations in quantum mechanics. in *Proceedings of the Lebedev Science Institute*, vol. 183 (Nova Science Publication, New York, 1989)
20. M.M. Nieto, Phys. Rev. A **20**, 700 (1979)
21. W. Thirring, *A Course in Mathematical Physics: Quantum Mechanics of Atoms and Molecules*, vol. 3 (Springer, New York, 1979)
22. A.M. Wolsky, Am. J. Phys. **42**, 760 (1974)
23. D. Popov, Czechoslov. J. Phys. **49**(8), 1121 (1999)
24. M.E. Grypeos, C.G. Koutroulos, K.J. Oyewumi, Th Petridou, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **37**, 7895 (2004)

25. K.J. Oyewumi, Th Petridou, M.E. Grypeos, C.G. Koutroulos, Approximate analytic expressions for the expectation values and the uncertainty relation for even-power-series central potentials using HVT method, in *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Contemporary Problems in Mathematical Physics, COPROMAPH 3 Held on the 1st–7th Nov 2003 Under the Auspices of the International Chair in Mathematical Physics and Applications*, ed. by J. Govaerts, M.N. Hounkonnou, A.Z. Msezane (ICMPA University of Abomey-Calavi, Cotonou, 2004), pp. 336–342
26. C.Y. Chen, Y. You, F.L. Lu, S.H. Dong, Phys. Lett. A **377**, 1070 (2013)
27. W.A. Yahya, K.J. Oyewumi, J. Assoc. Arab. Univ. Basic Appl. Sci. (2015). doi:[10.1016/j.jaubas.2015.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaubas.2015.04.001)
28. K.D. Sen, J. Katriel, J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 074117 (2006)
29. T.E. Liolios, M.E. Grypeos, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **36**, 2051 (1997)
30. D. Popov, Czechoslov. J. Phys. **49**(8), 1121 (1998)
31. G. Hellmann, *Einführung in die Quantenchemie* (Denticke, Vienna, 1937)
32. R.P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. **56**, 340 (1939)
33. J.H. Epstein, S.T. Epstein, Am. J. Phys. **30**, 266 (1962)
34. G. Marc, W.G. McMillan, Adv. Chem. Phys. **58**, 205 (1985)
35. D.B. Wallace, *An Introduction to Hellmann–Feynman Theory*. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Central Florida, USA (2005)
36. K.J. Oyewumi, F.O. Akinpelu, A.D. Agboola, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **47**(4), 1039 (2008)
37. S.P. Flego, A. Plastino, A.R. Plastino, Ann. Phys. **326**, 2533 (2011)
38. K.J. Oyewumi, K.D. Sen, J. Math. Chem. **50**, 1039 (2012)
39. J.S. Dehesa, R. Gonzalez-Ferez, P. Sanchez-Moreno, J. Phys. A **40**, 1845 (2007)