

A project of World Bank Global Development Finance for the Control of Poverty in Developing Countries

Abdulmalik Muhammad Junaidu¹, Sunusi Ahmad Abdullahi², Mubarak Sulaiman Jamiu³

¹*School of Public Finance and Public Administration, Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics, Nanchang, PR China*

²*Promotion and Discipline Unit, Registry, KUST, Wudil, Kano, Nigeria*

³*Department of Politics and Governance, Kwara State University, Malete, Nigeria*

Abstract:

Advancement help can't be apportioned simply on standards of selectivity. Pointers of good monetary advancement are not strong and connection of these markers with destitution mitigation isn't programmed; more accentuation should be given to reallocation arrangements. Shifts in thinking and practice concerning aid have posed serious challenges in monitoring and evaluation (M&E) for both donors and recipients, but progress in reform remains slow. Advancement help as a level of absolute streams is declining and is excessively little and wasteful to give adequate cradles to repeat worldwide emergencies. This article presents a diagnostic checklist that captures issues of M&E policy of World Bank. It applies Poverty and Social Impact Analysis (PSIA) test, randomized controlled trials and quasi-experimental designs to a review of the PRSP M&E arrangements of 10 aid-dependent countries, which are recipient of World Bank Funds to demonstrate comparative strengths and weaknesses.

Keywords: World Bank Funds, Monitoring and Evaluation, PRSP, Quasi-experimental Designs, 10Aid-dependent Countries

Introduction

"Surveying Help" is a way breaking report embraced by a gathering of eager researchers at the World Bank. It raises various significant issues of the ceaseless advancement banter. The principle end is that guide works when governments embrace the correct sort of financial administration however that guide doesn't work when governments don't attempt the correct kind of monetary administration. The report likewise contends that restriction doesn't work and that guide will consistently be fungible, whatever conditions are put to it.

The message that guide works in nations with great financial administration is obviously an exceptionally amazing message and has, on account of the effortlessness, likewise an immediate appeal to help advocates who have been so regularly battered in the past by skeptical reports on the impacts of advancement help. Be that as it may, a significant inquiry which stays in the wake of perusing the report is whether the message of the report gives adequate direction to strategy activity by contributors. Two other similarly significant inquiries are: 1) if the arrangement guidance of the report is actualized, what are the ramifications for the non-industrial nations, and 2) are the suggestions on guide strategy part of an improved plan for global advancement approaches in the 21st century? This article contends that in spite of the away from of the report, the guide strategy ramifications of the

American Journal of Humanities and Social Science (AJHSS) Volume 10, 2021

report actually need conversation. It contends likewise that the new thousand year's calls for new and striking reexamining of all arrangements at the worldwide level and that guide strategies need to shape part of the more extensive conversation on worldwide administration. Talking about guide approaches without taking in thought the worldwide administration issues resembles examining the viability of a particular gathering of firemen when backwoods fires crop up all over the place.

Global rights-based methodology would infer

For some pursuers, the arrangement ramifications of the Evaluating Help report are self-evident. What's more, the introduction in the report makes that its message is habitually gotten in the non-scholastic press which reduces the message down to the recommendation that one ought to give help to nations which have "great arrangements" and do whatever it takes not to help those nations which don't yet have a grasp on great approach making. It is a great idea to have a reasonable message. Anyway lucidity has additionally its flip side: clearness is frequently actually quite difficult. Most importantly the topic of what is acceptable arrangement isn't in every case simple to reply. The way that the subject of good arrangement isn't anything but difficult to answer isn't a contention against characterizing great approaches through numeric pointers. It is in every case great to be as unequivocal as conceivable to raise conversation and to get ready for reasonable arrangement making. By being express and accentuating the utilization of pointers, the report absolutely gives the premise to a more educated discussion. In any case, when filling in the mathematical subtleties of different pointers, one must be cautious with such markers of good financial administration. This can, for instance, be outlined by Table 1 which furnishes two arrangements of African nations with great monetary administration, one drawn up by the World Bank in 1993 and one by the IMF in 1998. As one notification the two gatherings of nations with great financial administration are fairly little, however what is significantly more essential to see is that there are just three out of the 12-14 nations fit the bill to be remembered for the two arrangements of nations with great strategy making. The greater part of the great entertainers of the 1993 rundown have vanished from the 1998 rundown and others returned as new applicants on the rundown of 1998. Consequently the sign of good financial administration in helpless agricultural nations with little foundation and immature establishments fluctuates quickly over the long haul and stays hard to apply reliably.

The World Bank Funds receipts by following recipient Countries

USD million, 2019			
1	Ethiopia	3356	7%
2	Nigeria	2453	5%
3	Tanzania	1672	4%
4	Kenya	2231	5%
5	Egypt	2341	5%
6	Democratic Republic of the Congo	1987	4%
7	Morocco	1845	4%

American Journal of Humanities and Social Science (AJHSS) Volume 10, 2021

plans in this regard ([Rwanda, 2007](#)), this overall absence of consideration regarding methodological precision, especially as to issues of legitimacy, has additionally been seen in meta-assessments inside guide organizations ([Bollen et al., 2005](#)).

Findings and discussion

Table 1 gives a diagram of the evaluation results, featuring for every one of the things the number and level of nations that scored 'frail', 'incompletely agreeable', 'good' and 'superb'.

Table 1: assessment of the PRSP systems of 10 aid-dependent countries

Items	Weak	Partially satisfactory	Satisfactory	Excellent
I. Policy				
1.Evaluation plan	4 (20%)	10 (50%)	4 (20%)	2(10%)
2. M versus E	9 (45%)	6 (30%)	4 (20%)	1 (5%)
3. Autonomy & impartiality	17 (85%)	3 (15%)	0	0
4.Reporting & dissemination	3 (15%)	10 (50%)	5 (25%)	2 (10%)
5.Alignment with planning & budgeting	10 (50%)	6 (30%)	4 (20%)	0
II. Indicators, data collection and methodology				
6.Selection of indicators	1(5%)	3 (15%)	4 (20%)	12 (60%)
7.Selection criteria	13 (65%)	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	3 (15%)
8.Priority setting	8 (40%)	8 (40%)	4 (20%)	0
9.Causality chain	9 (45%)	5 (25%)	5 (25%)	1 (5%)
10.Methodologies used	7 (35%)	10 (50%)	3 (15%)	0
11.Data collection	5 (25%)	6 (30%)	8 (40%)	1 (5%)
III. Organisation				
12.Co-ordination & oversight	0	15 (75%)	5 (25%)	0
13.Statistical office	4 (20%)	8 (40%)	8 (40%)	0
14.Line ministries	4 (20%)	11 (55%)	5 (25%)	0
15.Decentralised levels	6 (30%)	13 (65%)	1 (5%)	0
16.Link with projects	18 (90%)	2 (10%)	0	0

American Journal of Humanities and Social Science (AJHSS) Volume 10, 2021

		IV. Capacity-building		
17. Capacity diagnosis	5 (25%)	7 (35%)	6 (30%)	2 (10%)
18. Capacity-building plan	2 (10%)	14 (70%)	1 (5%)	3 (15%)
V. Participation of non-governmental actors				
19.Parliament	13 (65%)	4 (20%)	3 (15%)	0
20.Civil society	3 (15%)	12 (60%)	5 (25%)	0
21.Donors	7 (35%)	10 (50%)	3 (15%)	0
VI. Use				
22.Use inAnnual ProgressReports	2 (10%)	15 (75%)	3 (15%)	0
23. Use within country	11 (55%)	9 (45%)	0	0

As a rule, our discoveries uphold proof from other related examination (Holvoet and Renard, 2007; Holvoet and Rombouts, 2008; Wood et al., 2008; World Bank, 2005, 2007), in that M&E frameworks by and large score better on specialized segments (and all the more especially on pointers, information assortment and insights advancement) and fairly more vulnerable on arrangement and authoritative issues, which are more hard to address. The conversation underneath is organized along the six expansive regions of M&E; it proposes the main examples in our discoveries, enhancing the quantitative evaluation with bits of knowledge from subjective examination.

The inquiry is the means by which far one should go in definitely characterizing great administration. The report is utilizing four markers of financial administration: low expansion rates, a spending excess, exchange transparency and solid establishments (World Bank 1998, Index 1). Another issue which is essential to feature when deciphering the finishes of the report is that the conversation on the effectiveness of authentic advancement must be put with regards to the declining significance of improvement help in the complete of worldwide monetary streams. Table 2 demonstrates that guide (official streams), as a component of worldwide monetary streams, has declined from 56 percent in 1990 to under 20% in 1998. This is a gigantic move in a brief timeframe period over less than ten years. The stagnation regarding total levels and the decay as a level of all worldwide monetary streams makes improvement help these days particularly significant for nations which can't pull in private capital streams or unfamiliar direct speculation; these are the less fortunate nations. Nations which have great monetary administration and exchange approaches are in a superior situation to pull in private streams. 1 However nations which don't score high on having 'great monetary approaches' are, if the mainstream understanding of "Evaluating Help" is finished, twofold rebuffed: they are not accepting any private streams and may likewise be bolted outfrom accepting greater advancement help or from getting improvement help by any means. Subsequently, it gets essential to think about the political inquiry, what the kind of conditions for improvement help should be applied in nations which don't have a decent

American Journal of Humanities and Social Science (AJHSS) Volume 10, 2021

history on great financial approach. Would this suggest an expansion in contingency to drive a superior monetary administration or would there be different methods to improve great financial administration? In spite of the fact that the report contends that point by point restriction, as the World Bank itself has been rehearsing, as considerable arrangements of explicit "ex ante" policy targets, doesn't work, it actually advocates a type of "meta" contingency (selectivity) identified with the general result of financial administration previously.

In any case, as Stiglitz has contended: "If our sole goal in giving guide were to boost total development in the less-created nations, the ramifications of our exploration work would be that we ought to furnish the most guide to those with the best arrangement conditions and no guide to those with the most noticeably awful approach conditions. Yet, we need to recollect that fruitful development requires since quite a while ago run ventures" (Stiglitz 1999, p. 26). All things considered, it appears to be subsequently more fitting to create institutional limit with regards to improved financial and social approach making. This would not just infer reinforcing the productivity of existing foundations and the formation of new organizations 2, yet additionally the limit of individuals taking choices. In this regard, reinforcing of majority rule control and investment by the individuals who are influenced by the outcomes of the approach changes should be energized and upheld to give essential countervailing power during the time spent financial and social turn of events (van der Hoeven and van der Geest, 1999).

Table 2: Long-term financial flows to developing countries, 1990-98

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998 ^a
Net long-term resource flows	100.8	123.1	152.3	220.2	223.6	234.9	308.1	338.1	275.0
Official flows	56.9	82.6	54.0	53.3	45.5	53.4	32.2	39.1	47.9
Private flows	43.9	60.5	98.3	187.0	178.1	201.5	275.9	299.0	227.1
International capital markets ^b	19.4	26.2	52.2	100.0	89.6	96.1	149.5	135.5	72.1
Foreign direct investment	24.5	34.4	46.1	67.0	88.5	105.4	126.4	163.4	155.0
a. Preliminary b. Bonds, loans, and portfolio equity flows. Source: World Bank <i>Global Development Finance 1999</i> (Washington D.C.)									

The report additionally expounds on the connection among help and destitution lightening. The report, albeit not expressly, will in general contend that there is less requirement for explicit arrangements on decreasing imbalance as destitution easing and monetary development go inseparably. The report effectively contends that quickly developing nations can decrease neediness, regardless of whether there is no express approach to lessen disparity, as the helpless will, by theory of probability, outgrow destitution ('A rising tide will lift all boats'). Anyway there are two capabilities to the contention of not expressly being

American Journal of Humanities and Social Science (AJHSS) Volume 10, 2021

concerned about pay disparity. The primary capability is that various nations won't have the option to develop quickly, except if imbalance is diminished. Late examination discoveries (talked about in van der Hoeven 2000) have affirmed that disparity, in spite of the intuition during the 60s and 70s; influence a nation's development execution adversely. The undeniable end is that if development is to get, unique software engineers to diminish disparity and consequently to lessen neediness are required in that regard. Development will take off or quicken whenever disparity has been diminished. This is particularly the situation in nations with a high disparity proportion. 3 Consequently decrease of imbalance is expected to encourage development. A pointer catching a decrease of disparity might have been built and added to the markers of good monetary administration which in the report relate for the most part to macroeconomic administration. The subsequent motivation to be more worried about neediness and disparity in evaluating monetary administration is that higher imbalance builds social strain and will make the acknowledgment of financial change programs and other macroeconomic arrangements more troublesome (van der Hoeven and van der Geest 1999). Henceforth there is need to help unequivocally programs on decrease of disparity. Outgrowing destitution is just an answer for those couple of nations which have accomplished effectively a low proportion of imbalance and which have a bunch of social establishments set up which encourage a more evenhanded circulation of pay. In most agricultural nations this isn't the situation (van der Hoeven, 2000).

The cleavage between nations with a "great" history, and nations with a "awful" history, where "great" and "terrible" is caught through a predetermined number of primarily macroeconomic pointers, might be strengthened in the present status of political real factors under which multilateral and reciprocal guide is given. This global wonder of all benefactor nations following a similar advancement help arrangements can be contrasted with the public marvel that "right" microeconomic choices by family units, particularly in the midst of monetary emergency, may prompt wrong aggregate macroeconomic conduct, as Keynes has called attention to during the emergency of the 1930s.

Aid and Global Governance

Advancement help has engrossed worldwide approach making since the creation after the Subsequent World Battle of the Unified Countries and the Bretton Woods Establishments as a component of that. Throughout the long term various perspectives on the job and capacity of help being developed can be noted. The main perceptible capacity of help was to give specialized participation regarding supporting labor to services and undertakings in nations which had picked up autonomy just as to improve venture streams to non-industrial nations to close the speculation investment funds holes since capital was viewed as the major compelling variable in monetary turn of events. Advancement help was basically used to fund huge scope capital tasks. This accentuation on capital and development was afterwards addressed, as venture didn't lead consequently towards business creation and neediness easing.

Thusly improvement help turned out to be considerably more related towards accomplishing social objectives and fulfillment of fundamental requirements, ousting the supremacy of monetary development as the determinant of help (Hoeven 1997) and stressing venture and improving proficiency in social areas. The impulses of the worldwide monetary atmosphere of the 80s brought about the requirement for some nations to change their economies to oblige the outer stuns. This prompted pulling together guide from venture help towards program help as primary change programs planned by the World Bank and the IMF.

Table3: Annual percentage change in oil and non-oil commodity prices, 1981-2001 (World Bank commodity price indexes, nominal U.S.dollars)

Commodity group	1981-90	1991-95	1997	1998	Forecasts		
					1999	2000	2001
Non-oil commodities	+2.3	4.1	2.2	-15.7	+6.3	1.1	5.0
Agriculture	-3.2	5.8	2.5	-16.2	-5.2	1.8	3.3
Metals and minerals	0.5	0.3	1.2	-16.2	-10.3	2.0	12.2
Fertilizers	+2.5	0.7	-0.1	2.0	+5.2	+4.1	0.0
Petroleum	-4.7	-5.8	-6.2	-31.8	-8.2	2.5	6.7
G-5 MUV ^a	3.3	3.6	-5.1	-3.9	1.3	2.6	2.7

a. Manufactures Unit Value Index

Source: World Bank(1999): *Global Development Finance 1999* (Washington D.C.).

In any case, how successful is advancement help in assisting nations with defeating the negative parts of financial stuns and worldwide monetary emergencies? The 1990s have been portrayed by expanded worldwide unpredictability and a line of capital market emergencies 4 and can give knowledge of how compelling improvement was in padding nations against outer stuns. A first perception is that the measure of advancement help contrasted with negative impacts of outer stuns which most non-industrial nations go through is somewhat little. For instance, the Asian emergency set off a decrease in maker costs for essential wares of more than 15 percent in 1998 (Table 3). This decrease in maker costs, joined with a decrease in the development of fares of agricultural nations to created nations from around 10% to 3 percent for each year in 1998 and an evaporating of funding to non-industrial nations, has driven for the entirety of non-industrial nations to an interest outfall 3-4 percent of their Gross domestic product in 1998 (World Bank 1999). This is by a wide margin bigger than the level of help to Gross domestic product gave which to every single non-industrial nation, which was under 1 percent (OECD 1999). Just nations in Africa and some in Focal America and Asia have a higher level of help to Gross domestic product than 3-4 percent.

Regardless of the overall huge size of help contrasted with government use and Gross domestic product in a significant number of the more unfortunate (LDC) nations, the aggregate sum of help isn't steady. Numerous investigations have seen the instability in guide streams. Karshenas (2000) has determined coefficients of changeability and co-development of help; government income and specialists in LDC's structure 1970-1998 (see Table 4).

The coefficient of yearly variety in guide development rates in neighborhood cash fluctuated between 5.7 for Bangladesh and Myanmar to 1.2 for Nepal, and when determined in US dollars, between 4.0 for Myanmar and 1.8 for Nepal. The coefficients for variety in government income were a lot of lower and differed between 2.5 for Uganda to

American Journal of Humanities and Social Science (AJHSS) Volume 10, 2021

0.5 for Malawi. For the all out number of LDC nations the mean (middle) of the relationship coefficient between the yearly variety in guide and government income was 0.25 (0.29) and between the yearly variety of help and master income 0.01 (0.15). This huge level of help to Gross domestic product limits these non-industrial nations in their own dynamic cycles (Berg 1997).

A change in worldwide administration, lessening the unpredictability of the global monetary framework and shielding the more unfortunate nations from such instability appears to be a vital supplement to homegrown change arrangements expanding financial proficiency. This was one reason behind the as of late distributed Meltzer report (US Congress 2000) which prescribed the World Bank to zero in on concessional loaning to more unfortunate nations on a drawn out premise and to discount the obligation of the less fortunate nations. The IMF was prescribed to manage sort-term adjustment strategies and fortify its unique function of a loan specialist after all other options have run out, and therefore pull out from longer term primary change exercises in more unfortunate nations leaving the activity of these exercises to the World Bank and other provincial advancement banks. The Meltzer report, in spite of the fact that stressing the requirement for a reinforced arrangement of loan specialist after all other options have run out in a worldwide arrangement of concessional and non-concessional streams, misses the mark anyway to make suggestions for a superior working of the worldwide monetary framework. Notwithstanding, a world monetary authority would have the option to more readily facilitate worldwide development and dodge stuns (Pollin 1998), Examination and assessment have called attention to that the advancement way of the nations depends on great financial strategies, however considerably more so on all around created and appropriately working organizations, which are expected to execute such arrangements, just as establishments empowering the overall acknowledgment of monetary approaches by bigger pieces of the populace (Hoeven and Geest 2000) and a superior gratefulness on which gatherings gain and which gatherings loses from change strategies and how failures can be redressed.

Since the finish of the Virus War, political thought processes being developed guide have gotten less 5 and on a fundamental level more worried about the circumstance of needy individuals. The UN framework and its specific offices, including the World Bank the and IMF, all have add to a coordinated arrangement of worldwide reallocation inside the setting of bigger arrangement of worldwide administration. Be that as it may, conversation and exploration on issues identified with worldwide reallocation is as yet in an undeveloped stage. Advancement help needs to turn into a worldwide public useful for all individuals, regardless of the changing conduct of their administration (Kaul et al. 1999). An arrangement of worldwide financial, social and political rights should supplement the current breaking down worldwide monetary and social structure which is fundamentally established on two standards, in particular the presence (or the capability) of a public government assistance state (counting "public reallocation") and a global arrangement of streamlined commerce and free capital streams (globalization) which decides the public system for financial and social approaches (Kapstein 1999). This can be somewhat clarified by the reality, as Rodrik (2000) has called attention to, that there is a "trilema" between three patterns in socio-political turn of events. Specifically: global monetary reconciliation, -the fortifying of the country state and - the resurgence of mass political investment which are as of now spread by help contributors and worldwide guide organizations (World Bank 2000). The most extensive situation is that the significance of

American Journal of Humanities and Social Science (AJHSS) Volume 10, 2021

the country state may decrease, as has occurred by the various States in the US, and as of now occurring in EU and in the expansion of the EU (Rodrik 2000). For example, burdening capital developments, air transport, Web use, and so on Proposition made by Tanzi (1996) and Tobin (1996) are significant in this regard. An arrangement of worldwide tax collection would be an indication of development of the global framework and would mitigate improvement clergymen in contributor nations to spend a significant piece of their time in campaigning public gatherings to help the decisions in favor of the advancement financial plan and for advancement help distributions.

Conclusion

All together for an arrangement of worldwide administration to work satisfactorily, agricultural nations and individuals from non-industrial nations ought to have the limit and the space to work. As Helleiner and Oyejide have contended, worldwide administration should be perceived as a framework for equivalent accomplices. A more evolved arrangement of worldwide administration additionally infers that multilateral association need to consider the privileges of people, yet upholding these rights ought not be done remarkably through putting greater restriction or applying selectivity being developed guide allotment to country States, as is regularly contended, however should be done through the finish of public improvement settlements, for which the public governments are responsible and which must be created not by givers, but rather in a public setting in counsel and concurrence with various public gatherings to regard person's human and work rights to advancement. A global rights-based methodology would infer that people and gatherings can hold their legislatures broadly yet additionally universally responsible for the usage and conveyance of closed improvement settlements. Such improvement agreements, particularly in less fortunate nations, need to fuse, as a fundamental segment, the production of establishments and the improvement in limit of various gatherings of the populace to break down monetary and social patterns and to go into a public discourse of such patterns. Consequently the activity and examining of good monetary and social strategies should be started by gatherings and people in individual nations instead of by global administrations. Notwithstanding, as Killick (1998) has contended there are additionally issues with the recommendation of diverting more guide through multilateral organizations, in particular that some multilateral offices are right now less expert than others and may bring forth a significantly bigger administration, with considerably more noteworthy grouping of intensity opposite getting governments. Also, if the multilateral framework relinquishes its worldwide mission and concludes altogether to choose "champs" in crediting advancement help, the more unfortunate "failures" might be totally left vulnerable.

References:

- 1) Azzam, J.P. et al. (1999): Aid Dependence Reconsidered, Working Paper No. 99-5, Centre for the Study of African Economies, Oxford.
- 2) Berg, E. (1997): "Dilemmas in donor aid strategies", in Gwin, C. and Nelson, J. (1997): Perspectives on aid on development (ODC, Washington).
- 3) Collier, P. (1999): "Aid "dependency": a critique", in Journal of African Economies, Vol. 8, No. 4.
- 4) Fisher, S. (1991): "Growth, Macroeconomics and Development" in National Bureau

American Journal of Humanities and Social Science (AJHSS) Volume 10, 2021

of Economic Research Macroeconomic Manual 1991, Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press.

- 5) Helleiner, G. and Oyejide, A. (1999): "Global economic governance, global negotiations and the developing countries", in UNDP: Background papers to the 1999 Human Development Report - Globalization with a human face (UNDP New York).
- 6) Herfkens, E. (1999): "Aid works - Let's prove it", in Journal of African Economies, Vol. 8, No. 4.
- 7) ILO (1998): ILO Declaration of Fundamental Principles and Rights of Work and its Follow up adopted by the International Labour Conference at its 86th Session, Geneva, 18 June 1998.
- 8) IMF (1999): World Economic Outlook 1999 (October) (Washington D.C.).
- 9) Jansen, K. and Vos, R. (1997): External Finance and Adjustment Failures and Success in the Developing World (MacMillan, London).
- 10) Kapstein, E. (1999): "Distributive justice as an international public good: A historical perspective" in Kaul et al. (1999).
- 11) Karshenas, M. (2000): Aid effectiveness, Coordination Policies and ownership, mimeographed document (SOAS, London).
- 12) Kaul, I. et al. (eds.) (1999): Global Public Goods - International Cooperation in the 21st Century (O.U.P. Oxford). Kayizzi-Mugerwa, G. (1999) (ed.): The African Economy Policy, Institutions and the Future (London, Routledge).
- 13) Killick, T. (1998): "Responding to the aid crisis", in UNCTAD (1998): International Monetary and Financial Issues for the 1990's, Vol. IX (United Nations, Geneva).
- 14) Lancaster, C. (1999): "Aid effectiveness" in "Africa, the unfinished agenda", in Journal of African Economies, Vol. 8, No. 4.
- 15) OECD (1999): Development Cooperation 1998 (DAC Report) (Paris).
- 16) Pollin, R. (1998): "Can domestic expanding policies succeed in a globally integrated environment? An examination of alternatives in Baker, D. et al (1998): Globalization and progressive economic policy, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 17) Rodrik, D. (2000): "How far will International Economic Integration go?" in Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol.14, No. 1, winter 2000, pp 177-186.
- 18) Sacks, I. (1998): "The State and the social partners, towards a development compact", in Economic and Political Weekly, August (15-22).
- 19) Stiglitz, J. (1999): "Aid effectiveness and development partnership" in UNU (1999): Donor coordination and the effectiveness of development assistance (United Nations University, Tokyo)
- 20) Tanzi, V. (1996): Globalization, tax competition and the future of tax systems, Working Paper No. 96-141, International Monetary Fund, Washington.
- 21) Taylor, L. (1999a): "Lax public sector, destabilizing private sector: origins of capital market crises", in UNCTAD (1999): International Monetary and Financial Issues for the 1990's, Vol. X (United Nations, Geneva).

American Journal of Humanities and Social Science (AJHSS) Volume 10, 2021

- 22) Talyor, L. (1999b): Global macroeconomic management, mimeographed, UNU/WIDER, Helsinki.
- 23) terWengel, J. (1999): "The fungability of aid", mimeographed document, Economic and social Institute, Free University, Amsterdam.
- 24) Tobin, J. (1996): "Prologue" in Ul Haque, M. et al. (1996): The Tobin tax coping with financial volatility (Oxford University Press, Oxford).
- 25) UNCTAD (1998): Trade and Development Report 1998 (Geneva). UNCTAD (1999): Trade and Development Report 1999 (Geneva). UNDP (1994): Human Development Report 1994 (New York).
- 26) US Congress (2000): Report of the International Financial Institution Advisory Commission (chair A.N. Meltzer), March 2000, Washington DC.
- 27) van der Hoeven, R. (1997): "External Dependence, Structural Adjustment and Development Aid in Sub-saharan Africa", in Jansen and Vos (1997).
- 28) Van der Hoeven, R. (1999): "Africa's Adjusted Labour Markets: Can Institutions Perform" in van der Geest and van der Hoeven, eds (1999): Adjustment, Employment and Missing Institutions in Africa (London, James Currey).
- 29) Van der Hoeven, R. (2000): "Poverty and Labour Markets in a Context of Structural Adjustment and Liberalization: Some Remarks on Tradeoffs between Equity and Growth" in Mosley, P. and Booth, A. (eds.) (2000): New Poverty Strategies: What have they achieved (London, Macmillan).
- 30) Weder, B. (1999): Model, myth or miracle - Reassessing the role of governments in the East Asian experience (UNV, Tokyo).
- 31) White, H. (1999): "Aid and Economic Reform" in Kayizzi-Mugerwa, G. (ed.) (1999). World Bank (1999): Global Development Finance 1999 (Washington DC).
- 32) World Bank (1998): Assessing Aid. What Works, What Doesn't and Why (Washington DC). World Bank (2000): World Development Report 2000/2001 - Attacking poverty (Washington DC).